PATENT COOPERATION TREATY REC'D 0 8 FEB 2006 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY WIPO MARCELLA D. WATKINS CONLEY ROSE, P.C. P.O. BOX 3267 HOUSTON, TX 77253-3267 WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis, 1) Date of mailing 2006 (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below 1789-13901 International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/US05/04125 09 February 2005 (09.02.2005) 09 February 2004 (09.02.2004) International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC(7): G01N 21/61 and US Cl.: 356/437 Applicant WILLIAM MARSH RICE UNIVERSITY 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPBA and the chosen IPBA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US Mail Stop PCT, Atm: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Date of completion of this

Authorized officer

Richard Rosenberger 27 January 2006 (27.01.2006)

Telephone No. 571-272-2428

Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201 Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2005)

International application No.
PCT/US05/04125

Box No. I Basis of this opinion							
1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:							
$\boxtimes$	the international application in the language in which it was filed						
	a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).						
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:							
a.	type of material						
	a sequence listing						
	table(s) related to the sequence listing						
Ь.	format of material						
	on paper						
	in electronic form						
c.	time of filing/furnishing						
	contained in the international application as filed.						
	filed together with the international application in electronic form.						
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.						
	Idinished subsequently to his Audionly for the purposes of seaton.						
In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in							
	the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.						
4. Addit	ional comments:						
•	·						
	·						
	·						

Form PCT/ISA/237(Box No. I) (April 2005)

International application No. PCT/US05/04125

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
1. Staten						
×	Novelty (N)		2-13, 15-21	_YES		
		Claims	1, 14	_NO		
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	12, 21	_YES		
			1-11, 13-20	_NO		
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-21	_YES		
	manufacture approximation (1977)		NONE	_NO		
2. Citation	ns and explanations:					
	Continuation Sheet	•		•		
			- ¥ -			
			•			
-	•	•				
	•					
			"			
				·		
			· .			
		:				
	فيتها وجواني الديوران المحاول والمحاوي المراوية المحاولة المحاولة والمحاولة والمحاولة فيستانها فياسان					
		•	·			
	•					
				ļ		

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (April 2005)

International application No. PCT/US05/04125

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

V. 2. Citations and Explanations:

Claims 1 and 14 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Hammerich et al (US 5,159,411). Hammerich et al show a method and an apparatus for the detection of a target fluid ("a first gas" in the abstract) in a fluid sample ("a gas mixture" desired optical frequency (by trigger 70) to generate acoustic signals in the fluid sample, measuring the acoustic signals with an acoustic transducer (30), and using the phase of the acoustic signal to detect the presence of the target fluid (abstract, lines 9-10;

Claims 2-8, 10-11, 13, and 15-20 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Hammerich et al (US 5,159,411).

See above for a discussion of the Hammerich et al reference.

The reference at least suggests a functional relationship between the modulation frequency and the phase lag; see column 4, lines 49-52, which states that a particular frequency "is found convenient leading to a phase delay of typically 120 degrees". It would have thus been obvious to choose a frequency which "optimizes" the phase lag as in claim 13; indeed, it appears that the reference has done this, considering 120 degrees "optimal" for its purposes. Such a frequency would inherently fall within the ranges that produce such "optimal" results, and thus will, as understood, due to the underlying physical mechanism being exploited by the reference, be greater that the relaxation rate or the inverse of the relaxation time and in clams 2, 15 and 20.

In relation to figure 2, the reference discusses how the phase change can be treated as vectors with a phase orientation angle. Given this discussion, it would have been obvious, as in claims 3 and 16, to choose to use the phase rotation angle, that is the difference between the vectors A and C in figure 2 of the reference, to determine the difference of interest, shown as vector B in give poorer results. In the vectors of figure 2 of the reference, the common component of vectors A and C is the common components between the measurements, and thus will represent the common contributions of the first fluid and the instrument phase lag, which will thus, by the process of finding vector B, will suppress signal contributions form those sources as in claims 5 and 6. The rotation of the vectors can be considered as an adjustable reference frame, as in claims 7 and 18; it is at least obvious to initialize this "adjustable reference frame" to a predetermined value, as in claim 8.

The reference teaches obtaining an in-phase signal ("the same period and phase as the microphone signal"; column 4, line 4) and a quadrature -phase signal ("the same period ... but differeing in having its phase displayed by 90 degrees"; column 4, lines 5-8), as in claim 9.

The lock-in amplifier (60) of the reference is a "single receiver having an adjustable phase" set by the signal form trigger 70, as in claim 10.

The reference discloses that the molecules in the gas are "exited" by the absorption of the light of the correct frequency; such excitation appears to be the "resonates" of claims 11 and 19.

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Supplemental Box) (April 2005)

International application No. PCT/US05/04125

Suppl	emental	Roy

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Claims 12 and 21 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the details of these claims. The prior art does not teach or suggest the use of modulation rate of greater than 30 kHz as in claims 12 and 21; the reference teaches a much lower rate of about 700 Hz (column 4, lines 49-50).

Claims 1-21 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus possess industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Supplemental Box) (April 2005)